# Commission of Inquiry into Money Laundering in British Columbia

**Public Hearing** 

# Commissioner

The Honourable Justice Austin Cullen

## Held at:

Room 801 Federal Courthouse 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, B.C.

Wednesday, February 26, 2020

#### **APPEARANCES**

Brock Martland, QC Patrick McGowan Steven Davis	Cullen Commission
Chantelle Rajotte	B.C. (Ministry of Finance and GPEB)
Katherine Shelley	Canada
Ludmila Herbst, QC	Law Society of B.C.
Matthew Palmer	BCLC
Melanie Harmer	Great Canadian Gaming Corporation
Maya Ollek	James Lightbody
Ron Usher	Society of Notaries Public of B.C.
Carina Chiu	BMW
James Cohen	TI Coalition
Chris Weafer	B.C. Real Estate Association
Megan Tweedie Emily Lapper	BCCLA
Jo-Anne Stark Kevin Westell	CBABC/CDAS

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Opening Statement by Mr. Cohen TI Coalition	1
Opening Statement by Mr. Weafer B.C. Real Estate Association	4
Opening Statement by Mr. Westell CBABC/CDAS	23
Opening Statement by Mr. Cohen (continuing) TI Coalition	31

1		Vancouver, B.C.
2		February 26, 2020
3		-
4	THE	REGISTRAR: All rise. The hearing has now
5		resumed.
6	THE	COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Martland.
7		MARTLAND: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, Mr. Cohen
8		from the Transparency International Canada
9		Coalition is attending by video from Toronto.
10		We've been able to arrange that for his opening
11		comments. So we're going to have him proceed
12		first, and my note of the batting order is that
13		then the B.C. Real Estate Association and then
14		Canadian Bar Association and Criminal Defence
15		Advocacy Society to round out our opening
16		statements. Thank you.
17	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Martland. Yes, Mr.
18		Cohen.
19		
20		(VIDEOCONFERENCE COMMENCES)
21		
22	OPEI	NING STATEMENT BY MR. COHEN (TI COALITION):
23		
24	MR.	COHEN: Thank you, Commissioner. And thank you
25		for accommodating [indiscernible - intermittent
26		signal] today on live webcast of our testimony
27		for the Coalition.
28		The [indiscernible] Coalition, made up of
29		Canadians for Tax Fairness [indiscernible]. The
30		Coalition is dedicated to reducing money
31		laundering across Canada.
32		The Coalition applauds the British Columbia
33		government on setting up the Commission to
34		establish accountability into causes of money
35		laundering in British Columbia, as well as hear
36		and recommend methods that the B.C. Government
37		can use to continue to address money laundering.
38		The Coalition is pleased to not only provide
39		testimony, but also identify experts that the
40		Commission may reach out to at its own discretion
41		for further advice on anti-money laundering
42		initiatives.
43		In our opening statement, I will review who
44		makes up the Coalition, a review of money
45		laundering, or "snow washing," in Canada, the
46		damage caused to Canada by the abuse of anonymous
47		companies, what Canada has been doing to address

1 the problem of anonymous companies, and what our Coalition recommends should be done, namely the 2 3 establishment of a publicly accessible registry 4 of corporate beneficial ownership. 5 The Coalition came together in 2016 б recognizing a need for Canada to address opacity 7 of beneficial owners of companies. The aim was 8 focused on [indiscernible] owners in line with 9 increasing precedents being set internationally, 10 including the UK public registry that was 11 announced in 2016. The Coalition's work has been funded by the Open Society Foundation since 2017. 12 13 The informal name of the Coalition, the "End Snow 14 Washing" Coalition, derives from a phrase to 15 describe money laundering in Canada, uncovered by journalists reviewing the Panama Papers leak, 16 17 which I will describe further on. 18 I will provide a brief summary of each 19 organization in the Coalition. 20 Canadians for Tax Fairness is a non-profit 21 organization whose aim is to raise public 22 awareness of crucial issues of tax justice and to 23 change the way Canadians talk about tax. Ιt 24 advocates for fair and progressive government 25 policies aimed at building a strong and 26 sustainable economy, reducing inequalities and 27 funding quality public services. 28 Publish What You Pay Canada is part of the 29 global Publish What You Pay movement of civil 30 society organizations working to make oil, gas and mineral governance open, accountable, 31 32 sustainable, equitable and responsive to all 33 people. As a movement, it envisions a world 34 where all people benefit from their natural 35 resources, today and tomorrow. Launched in 2008, 36 PWYP-Canada today numbers 15 members and realizes 37 its work through advocacy, research and public 38 outreach to promote and achieve enhanced disclosure of information about extractive 39 40 industry operations, with an emphasis on revenues 41 and beneficial owner information. 42 Transparency International Canada, or TI 43 Canada, is the Canadian chapter of Transparency 44 International. Since its foundation in 1996, TI Canada has been at the forefront of the national 45 In addition to advocating 46 anticorruption agenda. 47 legal and policy reform on issues such as

1 whistleblower protection, public procurement and 2 corporate disclosure, it designs practical tools 3 for Canadian businesses and institutions looking 4 to manage corruption risks, and serves as an 5 anti-corruption resource for organizations across 6 Canada. 7 The Coalition also relies on a working group 8 of experts to whom we are grateful for their 9 hours of volunteer work providing insights. 10 Canada's snow washing problem. Canada has made international headlines as an attractive 11 12 money laundering destination for kleptocrats, 13 criminals, and tax dodgers. Experts estimate 14 \$47 billion to \$130 billion in illicit funds are funnelled through the economy every year. At the 15 16 high end, that's over five percent of Canada's 17 2019 GDP. The trend of cleaning dirty money in 18 Canada has grown so widespread, there is even a 19 name for it, "snow washing." 20 It is no wonder criminals set their sights 21 on Canada, which has some of the weakest 22 corporate transparency laws in the world. There 23 are more rigorous checks to obtain a library card 24 than to set up a shell company. Criminals and 25 their intermediaries know this, and the evidence 26 was unearthed by Toronto Star and CBC journalists digging into the 2016 leak of the Panamanian law 27 28 firm Mossack-Fonseca. In the Panama Paper leaks, 29 the journalists discovered that Canada was being 30 marketed as a location to bring your dirty money 31 and have it cleaned like the pure white snow. 32 Hence, snow washing. 33 As an example, Mossack-Fonseca, used a 34 [indiscernble] --35 MR. MARTLAND: I'm wondering if Mr. Cohen --36 MR. COHEN: [indiscernible] 37 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Cohen --38 [indiscernible] MR. COHEN: Mr. Commissioner, I think it's become 39 MR. MARTLAND: 40 apparent that -- and Mr. Cohen, I hope, can hear 41 me say this -- but it's become apparent that from 42 our side, the connection isn't very stable. One 43 of the things I can point out, though, to the 44 people in the room -- I'm not sure whether we've actually distributed this to all participants 45 yet. But we have -- and Ms. Tam is nodding 46 47 yes -- we've distributed the written submissions

that Mr. Cohen is reading from. And so we will 1 2 make efforts to get a better connection and make 3 this more stable. If we needed to, we might move 4 to audio only. 5 6 (VIDEOCONFERENCE SUSPENDED) 7 8 MR. MARTLAND: But I'm going to suggest that rather 9 than us limping along with the audio cutting in 10 and out and it's difficult to follow, if Mr. 11 Weafer is amenable to me doing this, I think it 12 might make some sense that what we might look to 13 do is push pause on the attempt at using the 14 connection by video, have Mr. Weafer make his submissions, and potentially CBA and CDAS as 15 well, and then what we would do is look to 16 17 reconnect through the video link with Toronto and 18 see if it's a better connection at that point. 19 I'm open to suggestions, but that would be my 20 suggestion for how we go forward. THE COMMISSIONER: I think that's a good suggestion, 21 22 Mr. Martland. We'll just wait and see if Mr. 23 Cohen is accessible to us. I think we will go 24 ahead and do that. 25 MR. MARTLAND: All right. And then at the break we 26 can make an attempt to reconnect --27 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. 28 MR. MARTLAND: and get it right. All right, then. 29 I'll ask Mr. Weafer to address you. Thank you. 30 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 31 MR. WEAFER: Good morning. My name is Chris Weafer of the law firm Owen Bird, and I'm co-counsel to the 32 33 British Columbia Real Estate Association in this 34 inquiry. 35 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Weafer. 36 37 OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. WEAFER (B.C. REAL ESTATE 38 ASSOCIATION): 39 40 In summary, Commissioner, you've asked MR. WEAFER: 41 participants to provide their views and position 42 on areas within the Commission's mandate, in which the party has been granted standing. 43 And 44 you've asked us to identify topics participants would like the Commission to investigate and 45 46 address in the Inquiry.

47 In these comments I will describe the BCREA

5

and its role and summarize the activities of the 1 2 BCREA in regard to areas within the Commission's 3 mandate as well as the key topics BCREA would 4 like the Commission to address. 5 In summary, the BCREA's position at this 6 time is to request that the Commissioner consider 7 and support the recommendations the BCREA has 8 made in response to what have been referred to as 9 the Terms of Reference Reports. 10 The BCREA thanks the Commission for its 11 grant of participant standing in this inquiry. 12 This is an important proceeding with impacts on 13 British Columbia. 14 BCREA is the professional association 15 representing the province's 23,000 plus licensed 16 commercial and residential realtors. BCREA works 17 with its 11 member boards, each representing 18 different geographic regions in the province, on 19 professional development, advocacy, economic 20 research, and development of standard forms. 21 BCREA is established pursuant to the Societies 22 Act and has no regulatory or legislative However, this does not lessen the 23 authority. 24 seriousness with which it seeks to protect and 25 preserve the professional reputation of the real 26 estate industry and the members of BCREA who work in this critical area of the B.C. economy. 27 BCREA's primary intent in this inquiry is to 28 29 assist in identifying effective solutions that 30 respond to the criminal activity of money 31 laundering. 32 BCREA believes actions must be taken to 33 address the conditions which have enabled money 34 laundering at the provincial, federal and 35 international levels. BCREA acknowledges that 36 the province has made two significant 37 announcements since commencement of the Inquiry 38 in proposing and scheduling legislative reforms 39 in the real estate regulatory sphere which are 40 presently a work in progress. BCREA submits that 41 to the extent that legislative process is ongoing 42 in parallel to the Inquiry, there should be 43 efforts to reconcile the respective efforts to 44 ensure that no efforts are wasted, which may 45 contribute to best results. 46 BCREA also notes that the province has moved 47 towards transparency in real estate ownership

Opening Statement by Mr. Weafer  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{BCREA}}$ 

1 which is consistent with recommendations BCREA 2 and others made to the Inquiry's Terms of 3 Reference Reports. 4 The regulation of realtors and the real 5 estate industry is in a state of flux in this б province. New taxes, new regulations and 7 legislation -- including the new Land Owner 8 Transparency Act -- and a new regulatory 9 framework have, or will have, an impact on the 10 way real estate transactions are carried out in 11 this province. BCREA's hope is that as a 12 participant in these proceedings BCREA can provide a practical lens to give the Inquiry a 13 14 boots on the ground perspective of the current 15 and past state of the industry, and provide 16 insight as to what the industry may look like following proposed changes. BCREA will also have 17 18 a role in facilitating and implementing materials 19 and a knowledge base stemming from the outcome of 20 the final recommendations made by the Commission. 21 BCREA will also deal with educating realtors 22 on the outcomes of this inquiry with respect to 23 any recommendations which are implemented by 24 government. 25 Ms. Darlene Hyde, CEO of the BCREA, will be 26 made available as a witness over the course of 27 the inquiry proceedings to speak to relevant 28 issues relating to BCREA and the real estate 29 sector. 30 Turning to activities of BCREA in relation 31 to the four terms of reference reports, on December 17, 2019, BCREA filed comments with the 32 33 Commission on its activities in advance of the 34 Provincial Government establishing this inquiry. 35 Those comments in effect identify topics which 36 BCREA would like the Commission to investigate 37 and address in the hearings. 38 BCREA has been very active for the past 39 three years participating in the various 40 government-mandated initiatives regarding money 41 laundering. This has been a primary focus of 42 communication to, and education of, realtors by 43 the BCREA. 44 Turning to the first terms of reference 45 report, which I'll reference as Dirty Money 1: An Independent Review of Money Laundering in 46 47 Lower Mainland Casinos Conducted ... by Mr. Peter

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	German, March 31st, 2018. Firstly, it is important to note that realtors have been subject to FINTRAC reporting requirements since 2000, and responsibility for such reporting has been supported by the Canadian Real Estate Association and regional real estate boards. Following the release of the Dirty Money 1 report in June 2018, BCREA recognized the need to take actions to raise additional awareness around the money laundering concerns. This activity included:
13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>working with the Real Estate Board of Greater Vancouver to develop an action plan to improve realtor FINTRAC compliance and communicate about realtors' anti-money laundering efforts;</li> </ul>
19 20 21	<ul> <li>publishing articles in the member board newsletters about BCREA's actions;</li> </ul>
22 23 24 25 26	<ul> <li>commissioning consumer research to learn about perceptions of money laundering and other matters relevant to the real estate industry;</li> </ul>
27 28 29	- communicating with member boards and all British Columbia realtors,
30 31 32 33	<ul> <li>inviting FINTRAC to make a presentation at a September 2018 conference for managing brokers;</li> </ul>
34 35 36	- updating an online course about realtor FINTRAC obligations;
37 38 39 40	- meeting with FINTRAC and CREA, Canadian Real Estate Association, in Ottawa in October 2018; and
41 42 43 44 45	<ul> <li>taking the opportunity in October 2018 to inform B.C. MLAs about realtors' reporting obligations as part of BCREA's annual provincial lobbying conference.</li> </ul>
46 47	Turning to notable content in the Dirty Money 1 report, the <i>Dirty Money</i> 1 report identified

8

1 the "Vancouver model" of money laundering. That 2 is, wealthy individuals borrow dirty cash and use 3 it for gambling to disguise the movement of money 4 The dirty cash is lent by an out of China. 5 underground operator based in Canada who is 6 reimbursed by a Chinese source. This was 7 referenced at page 38 of the Dirty Money report. The suggestion is that most laundered cash is 8 9 reinvested into illegal enterprises and that a 10 portion is invested in real estate and luxury goods 11 and further suggests that real estate is vulnerable 12 to money laundering at various stages of the money 13 laundering process. 14 The BCREA comment and opinion on this is that 15 the BCREA, its 11 member real boards, and many 16 realtors were concerned with Mr. German's findings, 17 particularly the relevant recommendation, 18 Recommendation 45, that the Province undertake 19 research into allegations of organized crime 20 penetration of the real estate industry. 21 The BCREA opinion on this is that while 22 Mr. German's analysis seemed to rely quite heavily 23 on media reports, BCREA took his recommendations 24 seriously and committed resources to focus on the 25 concerns he raised. 26 Turning to the second terms of reference 27 report, the Real Estate Regulatory Structure Review of 2018 by Mr. Dan Perrin, which I'll refer to as 28 29 the Perrin report, the BCREA had begun to take 30 action on real estate regulatory restructuring 31 before the independent review conducted by Dan 32 Perrin was announced. On April 11, 2018, BCREA met 33 with Minister James to ask for a review. 34 When the Perrin review was announced on April 35 18, 2018, BCREA committed additional resources to government relations, information and educational 36 37 initiatives and research, as well as preparing 38 submissions to Mr. Perrin's review. These are described in detail in BCREA's written submissions 39 40 to the Commission filed December 17, 2019. I'm 41 going to highlight them just to focus on the 42 efforts made by the BCREA to respond to these The BCREA: 43 concerns. 44 45 - sent a letter of support to Minister James 46 for the review, 47

1 2	- communicated to all B.C. realtors,
3 4	- published an article in its external stakeholder newsletter,
5 6 7 8	- published an article in its member board newsletter,
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>reached out to Mr. Perrin to learn more about his methodology and how BCREA could contribute. He ended up providing BCREA with some questions from which BCREA created a survey and sent it to all realtors.</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>BCREA and some member boards conducted focus groups with realtors and we created a discussion guide and a handout,</li> </ul>
19 20 21 22 23 24	- BCREA ultimately met with Mr. Perrin on May 3, then subsequently provided a summary of the survey results, as well as the full results to Mr. Perrin, along with our own response on May 25, 2018, and
25 26 27 28 29	- on May 29, BCREA communicated again with all realtors about our submission to Mr. Perrin and a summary of the survey results.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36	BCREA met with Minister James on July 12, 2018, to discuss its submission. BCREA provided an update to all realtors on July 17, 2018. And on August 2018, BCREA published an article in its external stakeholder newsletter explaining the need for more practitioners to be included on the Real Estate Council of British
37 38 39 40 41 42	Columbia. BCRA met with opposition MLAs on September 12, 2018, to advocate, in part, for regulatory reform and more licensee representation on RECBC's governing council. After the Perrin report was released on
43 44 45	September 27, 2018, BCREA immediately: - published a news release,
46 47	- wrote to Minister James urging her to

1 carefully consider any changes and asking for 2 further consultations, 3 4 - summarized the report in an article for 5 member boards and realtors, 6 7 - reached out to the Office of the Superintendent of Real Estate and RECBC --8 9 the Real Estate Council of British Columbia, 10 - commissioned legal analysis. 11 12 13 BCREA communicated to its member real estate 14 boards and realtors and consulted with Government, and ultimately in June 2019, BCREA initiated a 15 16 project to articulate its visions for a new 17 regulatory structure. It began with a discussion 18 with member boards on how to proceed, hired legal 19 counsel to support the project, and submitted 20 recommendations to Minister James in December of 2019. 21 22 I'll now turn to the recommendations to the 23 Real Estate Regulatory Structure Review -- the 24 Perrin report -- and the BCREA responses. I'll be 25 paraphrasing the recommendations. 26 Recommendation 1, the consolidation of OSRE 27 and RECBC as a single regulator. The BCREA 28 position response to this recommendation, from page 29 26 of the Perrin report, was the BCREA welcomed the 30 move to a single regulator but has questions about 31 the details behind implementing the move. BCREA 32 considers it vitally important that real estate 33 licensing functions be treated separate from real 34 estate development functions, and the licensing 35 unit should include a standing committee of real 36 estate licensees which would facilitate an internal 37 degree of sector expertise within the regulatory 38 In addition, roles and accountability need body. 39 to be clearly articulated. 40 BCREA hopes that the recommendations from 41 this inquiry will support this approach. 42 We turn to Recommendation 2 from the Perrin 43 report from page 26 of that report: The Ministry 44 of Finance should control real estate public policy 45 development, in combination with the regulator. 46 The BCREA position is that it supports this 47 recommendation, particularly if it includes

1 collaboration with the industry and changes are 2 based on evidence. Again, BCREA hopes this inquiry 3 will support this recommendation. 4 Recommendation 3 from the Perrin report from 5 The Government should consider whether page 29. б there should be oversight for regulators in British 7 Columbia and if so, what form that oversight should 8 take. 9 The BCREA position: BCREA supports the 10 concept of oversight to ensure continuous improvement, as long as roles are clear, separate 11 12 and accountable. 13 Recommendation 4 from the Perrin report at 14 pages 30 to 31. The report recommended a policy 15 review of real estate regulatory requirements be 16 undertaken, including: 17 18 - A review of existing regulatory 19 requirements in RESA, the regulations and the 20 rules to ensure that the public policy requirements are contained in RESA and the 21 22 regulations; 23 24 - Further, a review of the best way to move 25 beyond the regulation of those currently 26 required to be licensed to more fully 27 regulate market conduct in the public 28 interest to prevent market manipulation and 29 abuse; and 30 31 - Third, a review of outstanding Independent 32 Advisory Group recommendations that would 33 require a public policy to determine the best way to proceed. 34 35 36 The BCREA position in response to these 37 suggestions was that he documents referred to in 38 this recommendation have evolved into a somewhat confusing collection that often mixes high-level 39 40 public policy with operational detail. BCREA 41 submits this is the time to streamline, which will 42 result in a more understandable, accessible 43 regulatory system. BCREA also strongly believes 44 that licensees and industry should be involved in 45 that review. 46 Turning to the next two terms of reference 47 report, Dirty Money - Part 2: Turning the Tide,

again by Mr. Peter German, and the Combatting Money 1 2 Laundering in B.C. Real Estate expert panel, 3 Maureen Maloney, Tsur Somerville and Brigitte 4 Unger, both March 31st, 2019. 5 When the second independent review by Peter б German and the Expert Panel were announced on 7 September 27th, 2018, BCREA undertook extensive 8 communication with its members and government as 9 well as with the authors of the reports. These 10 extensive efforts are summarized in BCREA's 11 December 17th, 2019, filing with the Commission. 12 The BCREA sent recommendations to Mr. German 13 and the Expert Panel on March 3rd, 2019. 14 In March 2019, BCREA repeated consumer 15 research that had been conducted in September 2018 16 to monitor consumer perceptions of money laundering in real estate, among other issues. 17 18 In March 2019, BCREA also published an 19 article in its external stakeholder newsletter 20 about its anti-money laundering efforts and on the 21 two government reviews. 22 Of particular importance, BCREA was also 23 involved in forming a multi-stakeholder real estate 24 working group in February 2019 with the B.C. 25 Notaries Association; Appraisal Institute of Canada 26 - B.C. Association; Canadian Mortgage Brokers 27 Association - British Columbia; and the Real Estate 28 Board of Greater Vancouver. 29 On April 15, 2019, the working group 30 published a statement with five key recommendations 31 for industry and government, which I'll be 32 referring to subsequently in this presentation. 33 Item 1: accept only verified funds; item 2: mandatory anti-money laundering education; item 3: 34 35 Smart Regulation, which I'll define later in this 36 presentation; item 4: ongoing engagement; and item 37 5: timely and transparent reporting. Several 38 members from this group met with Minister Eby on 39 March 28th, 2019. 40 BCREA held its annual provincial lobbying 41 conference in April 2019, which included a 42 facilitated presentation on anti-money laundering. 43 After the government released the findings of 44 Peter German and the Expert Panel on May 9, 2019, 45 BCREA stepped up its communications efforts with 46 government, its members, and within the community. These activities are also detailed in BCREA's 47

1 2 3	December 17th, 2019, filing with the Commission, and included:
3 4 5	- summarized the findings for member boards,
5 6 7	- published a news release on May 13, 2019,
8 9	- wrote to Minister Eby on May 14, 2019,
10 11 12	- participated in a Business in Vancouver discussion panel on money laundering on May 14, 2019,
13 14 15 16	- provided an update to all realtors on May 17, 2019,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	- submitted an opinion editorial, which was published by the <i>Vancouver Sun</i> on May 24, 2019,
	- published articles in its member board newsletter,
	- published an article about the Expert Panel and Peter German reports in its external stakeholder newsletter, and
	- wrote to Minister James on May 25, 2019.
	In addition, BCREA:
	<ul> <li>participated in a panel presentation at the June 11, 2019, Anti-Corruption Law Program event,</li> </ul>
35 36 37 38	- met with Deputy Minister of Finance Lori Wanamaker on August 13, 2019,
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	- met with Dan Perrin on September 12, 2019, to learn more about his view on money laundering regulation,
	- it participated in a money laundering panel as part of the Union of British Columbia Municipalities Convention on September 23, 2019,

1 - it attended the Canadian Anti-Money 2 Laundering Institute conference, September 3 23-25, 2019, and 4 5 - it participated on the panel for the Anti-6 Corruption Law Program session on November 7, 7 2019. 8 9 Throughout 2019, BCREA continued with its 10 initiative to provide resources for realtors. 11 These activities include: 12 13 - conducting a series of focus groups with 14 real estate brokerage FINTRAC compliance 15 officers and managing brokers to learn about 16 their compliance challenges and needs, 17 18 - hiring MNP to provide support, 19 20 - articulating the following projects to launch in 2020, which are ongoing: 21 22 communications materials, an online 23 compilation of resources, workshops and a community of practice for FINTRAC compliance 24 25 officers and managing brokers, 26 27 - publishing several newspaper articles with 28 FINTRAC compliance resources, and 29 30 - including a FINTRAC session in BCREA'S 31 October 17, 2019, Managing Brokers' 32 Conference. 33 34 BCREA, along with other members of our real 35 estate sector anti-money laundering working group 36 met with Minister Eby on December 5, 2019. 37 Turning to notable content in the second 38 Peter German report, which I'll refer to as Dirty 39 Money 2, and the BCREA responses. 40 The first notable content from page 13 and 17 41 of the Dirty Money 2 essentially focused on 42 unfinanced purchases or cash buys. 43 The real estate sector working group 44 recommendations in response to those comments is 45 that realtors should accept only verified funds. 46 And for sectors of real estate that were not 47 already required to do so, the working group

recommended that they accept funds only in forms that are verifiable through Canadian financial institutions.

The BCREA analysis and positions. BCREA recommends as best practices for realtors that brokerages should avoid accepting cash deposits for real estate transactions aside from exceptional circumstances. It is important to note that acceptance of cash deposits within B.C. real estate has never been common practice unless there were extenuating circumstances, and even then, deposit amounts are typically quite modest.

Notable content, item 2, from the Dirty Money 2 report, from pages 52 and 59. The report concluded that reporting of suspicious transactions to FINTRAC by realtors has been dismal at best.

The real estate sector working group recommendation -- and here is one of the five items I mentioned earlier -- recommends mandatory antimoney laundering education for all real estate professionals subject to the reporting requirements administered by FINTRAC to ensure that those professionals are trained in recognizing and reporting suspicious transactions. FINTRAC should work with sector organizations, regulators and the provincial government to improve existing resources so that they better reflect real-world situations and improve compliance.

Ongoing engagement. The Working Group recommended governments and regulatory agencies, including FINTRAC, better utilize on-the-ground experience of real estate professionals to develop compliance resources and test policy areas. This will result in better crafted, practical regulation and foster a culture of compliance to protect consumers and the economy.

Timely and transparent reporting. The Working Group recommended that FINTRAC implement a framework to identify and report trends on a regular basis and in language that is consistent and understandable to professionals, the public and This reporting system should also include media. consistency in examinations with immediate feedback designed to help industry professionals improve their compliance systems.

The BCREA analysis and position. BCREA is working with the Canadian Real Estate Association,

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

1 2 3 4	FINTRAC, and member boards to improve realtor compliance. BCREA has also recommended the following practices for realtors:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>educating brokerages so they can accurately and effectively report suspicious transactions, according to anti-money laundering legislation,</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>recommending that brokerages engage outside, independent professionals to conduct their two-year reviews, and</li> </ul>
	- that compliance officers participate in AML knowledge sessions, such as the Association of Certified Anti-Money Laundering Specialists. Since BCREA submitted our response to Mr. German, BCREA has created its own initiative to create a community of practice for realtors.
21 22 22	BCREA further submits that:
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	<ul> <li>FINTRAC should implement policies to ensure consistency in examinations, including immediate, specific suggestions for how a real estate brokerage can improve its compliance system,</li> </ul>
	- FINTRAC should reach out to sector organizations to create resources including guidelines to identify suspicious transactions that reflect real-world situations,
	<ul> <li>FINTRAC should implement public reporting practices that accurately represent the results of their examinations, and</li> </ul>
	- the Real Estate Council of British Columbia should develop required anti-money laundering licensing and relicensing education for realtors. The BCREA is pleased that the RECBC introduced mandatory anti-money laundering training for real estate licensees on January 9, 2020.

1 The BCREA asks that this inquiry support those recommendations in its report. 2 3 Turning to notable content 3 from the Dirty 4 Money report, part 2, and these are from pages 13, 5 14 and 15 of the Dirty Money - Part 2, report. And 6 here the report recommended the applicability of 7 FINTRAC to unregulated lenders and the 8 applicability of FINTRAC to the legal profession. 9 The BCREA analysis/position on these points 10 is that to the greatest extent possible while 11 recognizing the constraints noted by the Supreme 12 Court of Canada in its Federation case, BCREA 13 recommends the federal government require FINTRAC 14 compliance by lawyers, law firms and non-financial 15 institution lenders, such as alternative and 16 private lenders, for private real estate 17 transactions. 18 Notable content 4 from the Dirty Money 2 19 report from page 14. Here the recommendation dealt 20 with the Land Title and Survey Authority and the 21 B.C. Assessment and having access to powerful 22 databases that could be used to screen for suspicious activity and identify possible money 23 24 laundering. 25 The real estate sector working group 26 recommendations on this report relate to its proposal for what they call Smart Regulation. 27 28 BCREA recommends that the federal government 29 amend the Proceeds of Crime (Money Laundering) and 30 Terrorist Financing Act to allow FINTRAC 31 intelligence to be made available to additional 32 regulatory authorities, including the B.C. British 33 Columbia Securities Commission and FICOM. 34 Optimally, the federal and provincial governments, 35 as well as their respective agencies, should 36 coordinate their actions, share information, such 37 as the provincial land title registry, and create a 38 comprehensive, efficient enforcement regime. BCREA have further recommendations in 39 40 response to these reports, that: 41 42 - The federal and provincial governments, and 43 their respective agencies, should coordinate 44 their actions and policies to create a comprehensive, efficient enforcement regime. 45 46 47 - The B.C. Government should clarify the role

1 of provincial real estate regulators in the 2 area of anti-money laundering requirements. 3 4 Turning to notable content number 5 from the 5 Dirty Money - Part 2 report at page 14 from that б The report recommended a beneficial report. 7 ownership component to property registration, which would provide greater clarity regarding foreign 8 9 ownership and, by extension, disclose whether, or 10 not the funds used for the purchase of property 11 originated offshore. 12 The BCREA analysis and position on this 13 recommendation is that the BCREA first expressed 14 support for the draft Land Owner Transparency Act 15 in 2018 and continues to support the provincial 16 government's initiatives in this regard. 17 Turning to the notable content in the next 18 terms of reference report, which I'll refer to as 19 the Expert Panel, which is the Combatting Money 20 Laundering in B.C. Real Estate, prepared by Maureen 21 Maloney, Tsur Somerville and Brigitte Unger, March 22 31, 2019. The first notable content I'll reference 23 is Chapter 4, which estimated the effect of money 24 laundering on B.C. real estate. 25 The BCREA analysis and position on this 26 chapter, the information is that it appreciated the 27 amount of work the Expert Panel did to estimate the 28 impact of money laundering. However, BCREA was 29 alarmed that the government and the media didn't 30 heed the qualifiers in the report: that the impact is "impossible to measure, hard to estimate," from 31 page 44 of that report, and that there is a "large 32 33 margin of error due to lack of measured data and 34 international data inconsistency" from page 46 of 35 that report. 36 BCREA firmly believes that continued efforts 37 to reliably understand the impact of money 38 laundering on the entire economy are needed. BCREA 39 supports the efforts of this inquiry to better 40 qualify and quantify the speculative estimates on 41 the financial impact of money laundering. 42 Does BCREA or any of its THE COMMISSIONER: 43 constituents have suggestions to make as to how, 44 for example, the Commission might try and do a 45 reality check on some of the estimates of money 46 laundering in the real estate system? 47 MR. WEAFER: I don't have any instructions at this

1 point as to that information being available. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Right. 3 MR. WEAFER: I'll take it to the BCREA and see what 4 may be available, and we'd be happy to communicate 5 that back. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. No, I'm certainly not 7 expecting an answer here and now. But just again, 8 it's an issue that the Commission is concerned 9 with. 10 MR. WEAFER: It's a big issue. 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 12 MR. WEAFER: Thank you. 13 In terms of notable content 2 from the Expert 14 Panel, this is from page 75. The recommendation was the B.C. government should implement the Land 15 16 Owner Transparency registry as quickly and 17 effectively as possible. And as noted earlier, the 18 BCREA expressed support for the draft Land Owner 19 Transparency Act in 2018 and continues to support 20 the concept. Notable content number 3 from the Expert 21 22 Panel report from page 76 of that report recommended the B.C. government should develop a 23 24 discussion paper with draft legislation for 25 consultation about the implementation of a full 26 corporate beneficial ownership registry covering 27 all legal persons that is consistent with best 28 practices and that integrates with the Land Owner 29 Transparency Act. 30 The real estate sector working group 31 recommendation on this recommendation from the 32 Expert Panel was that the BCREA encourages ongoing 33 engagement with the real estate industry. BCREA recommends governments and regulatory agencies, 34 35 including FINTRAC, better utilize the on-the-ground 36 experience of real estate professionals to develop 37 compliance resources and test policies. 38 The BCREA analysis and position on this is it 39 appreciates that the recommendation is for a 40 discussion paper as it is best to get practical 41 input. The government published its consultation paper on January 17, 2020, and BCREA is presently 42 43 collecting input for its response. 44 Notable content 4 from the Expert Panel, from 45 page 77. The B.C. government should implement the 46 recommendations of the Real Estate Regulatory 47 Structure Review report, 2018. The BCREA position

1 is that it supports restructuring of the real 2 estate regulatory framework but emphasizes the need 3 for industry input in developing and governing the 4 framework. 5 Notable content number 5 from the Expert 6 The B.C. Minister of Panel report at page 78. 7 Finance should take the steps necessary to place the onus for compliance with the Real Estate 8 9 Services Act and the Proceeds of Crime (Money 10 Laundering) and Terrorist Financing Act directly on 11 individual real estate licensees. 12 The BCREA analysis/position is that this is 13 coming as part of the Office of the Superintendent 14 of Real Estate's fall 2019 consultation based on 15 the discussion paper Reframing the Role of Managing 16 Brokers in B.C. In November and December 2019 and 17 into January 2020, BCREA worked with member boards 18 to consult with realtors and BCREA submitted its 19 response signed by all of the member boards on 20 January 20, 2020. 21 Turning to notable content 6 from the Expert 22 Panel report, and this is from page 79 of that report, the recommendation was the BC Minister of 23 24 Finance should take the steps necessary to require 25 that real estate developers be licensed under a 26 regulatory regime and eliminate the exemption for 27 salespersons who are employees of developers. This 28 is at page 79 of the Expert Panel report. 29 The BCREA analysis/position is the BCREA is 30 on record supporting elimination of the exemption from the Real Estate Services Act for developers' 31 32 salespersons. However, licensing for developers 33 further complicates the real estate regulatory 34 framework. 35 Notable content 7 from the Expert Panel 36 report at page 81, the B.C. government should 37 consider introducing unexplained wealth orders in 38 British Columbia. 39 The BCREA analysis/position: BCREA has urged 40 caution with this recommendation to ensure 41 appropriate checks and balances are in place. 42 Notable content number 8 from the Expert 43 Panel report, tracking reports made on the Dirty 44 Money - Part 2 report. The Expert Panel supported FINTRAC being applicable to mortgage lenders and 45 46 mortgage intermediaries and supported FINTRAC 47 effectively being applicable to legal

1 professionals. And this is at page 83 and 84 of 2 that report. 3 The BCREA position has been set out above, 4 that subject to the Supreme Court of Canada 5 parameters in the Federation case, the BCREA 6 recommends to support this recommendation. 7 Turning to notable content number 9 from the Expert Report, and this is from page 85 of that 8 9 The B.C. Minister of Finance should report. 10 require reporting entities in the real estate 11 sector to conduct know your customer due diligence 12 on beneficial ownership. 13 The BCREA analysis/position is that the 14 Canadian Real Estate Association is on record as 15 not supporting a requirement for realtors to 16 conduct due diligence on beneficial ownership in 17 their submission and presentation to the Federal 18 Standing Committee on Finance on March 27, 2018. 19 Turning to notable content number 10 from the 20 Expert Panel report, page 85 and 86, that the 21 Minister of Finance should recommend to her federal 22 counterpart that the Proceeds of Crime legislation 23 be amended to authorize FINTRAC to provide 24 information to specified provincial regulators and 25 anti-money laundering investigative agencies. 26 The BCREA analysis on this recommendation is 27 that the federal and provincial governments and 28 their respective agencies should be coordinating 29 their actions and policies to create a 30 comprehensive, efficient enforcement regime. The adoption of this concept is akin to the Smart 31 32 Regulation proposal referenced above. 33 Turning to notable content 11 -- and I'm 34 going to combine from pages 86 to 94 of the Expert 35 Panel report. It lists a series of 36 recommendations. And to paraphrase, they encourage 37 that FINTRAC enhance its quality of reporting, its reporting of intelligence and statistics, assist in 38 39 better training of reporting entities, develop 40 education and training that is industry specific. 41 It recommends the BCREA should add anti-money 42 laundering the mandate of B.C. regulators. Ιt 43 recommends the principle of a data-sharing 44 framework be established. It recommends a review of data sharing and confidentiality. It recommends 45 46 that mortgage lending businesses conduct and 47 maintain know your customer records and records of

1 the source of mortgage payment funds. And it 2 recommends the B.C. Minister of finance should 3 create institutional coordination mechanisms among 4 the financial investigation units and the various 5 federal and provincial regulators. б The real estate sector's working group 7 recommendation as a response to this is again akin 8 to the concept and response to the Dirty Money 2 9 report, which was fairly similar, that the real 10 estate sector -- that mandatory anti-money 11 laundering education be implemented for all real 12 estate professionals, subject to the reporting 13 requirements administered by FINTRAC to ensure that 14 those professionals are trained in recognizing and 15 reporting suspicious transactions. 16 Again, we recommend timely and transparent 17 reporting. Also, the BCREA submits as a best 18 practice BCREA recommends educating brokerages so 19 they can accurately and effectively report 20 suspicious transactions, according to AML 21 legislation. BCREA again recommended that FINTRAC 22 implement best practices on examinations, outreach 23 and public accounting practices noted above in 24 response to the Dirty Money 2 recommendations. 25 BCREA ultimately recommends that the federal 26 and provincial governments and their respective 27 agencies coordinate their actions and policies to 28 create a comprehensive, efficient enforcement 29 regime and avoid duplication of reporting 30 compliance for realtors. 31 Lastly, item 12 of notable content from the 32 Expert Panel at page 95, the recommendation was 33 that the B.C. Minister of Finance should make every 34 effort to convince her provincial colleagues of the 35 importance of making combatting money laundering a 36 provincial priority and using provincial finance 37 and real estate related regulatory changes in 38 coordination with federal and other provincial 39 agencies to combat money laundering consistent with 40 the panel's recommendations. 41 BCREA's position on this is it does not have 42 an existing position specifically on this point, 43 but this makes sense and aligns with the BCREA's 44 support of anti-money laundering efforts in the 45 province, including BCREA's participation in this 46 proceeding. 47 In conclusion, on behalf of our client, the

1 British Columbia Real Estate Association, we thank 2 you for the opportunity to make these opening 3 comments and look forward to working cooperatively 4 with all parties in the inquiry process. 5 And also, before closing, I would like to 6 acknowledge and thank your inquiry team, which has 7 been doing an exceptional job getting this inquiry 8 off the ground. 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Weafer. 10 MR. WEAFER: Those are my submissions. 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Yes, Mr. Westell. MR. WESTELL: Thank you. Good morning, Mr. 12 13 Commissioner. My comments will be considerably 14 more brief than the previous submission. 15 16 OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. WESTELL (CBABC and CDAS): 17 18 MR. WESTELL: I am here today to offer opening remarks 19 on behalf of two organizations: the Canadian Bar 20 Association, British Columbia Branch, the CBABC, 21 and the Criminal Defence Advocacy Society, CDAS. 22 These two organizations are very different in 23 nature, but we share a grant of standing at this 24 Commission. I am authorized by both organizations 25 to make these submissions. I'm involved with both 26 organizations. With respect to CDAS, I'm the Vancouver regional representative, and with respect 27 28 to the CBA, I am a member at large of the CBA's 29 National Criminal Justice Section. 30 But I want to be clear for the record that, 31 for the purposes of this Commission, I am counsel 32 for CDAS. I am assisting in the CBA, our standing 33 partner, with the submission. 34 It's my pleasure to have the opportunity to 35 speak to the Commission today regarding the role of 36 lawyers in the serious money laundering issue in 37 our province. 38 A little bit of background about both organizations for the benefit of the Commission and 39 40 the public. The CBA is a national organization 41 with a membership of over 38,000 jurists, judges, 42 academics and law students from across Canada. The 43 CBA has been in existence since 1896 and was 44 formally incorporated by an act of Parliament in 1921. Branches exist in every province and 45 46 territory in Canada. CBABC, the B.C. branch, has 47 over 7,000 members in a wide range of practice

1 areas, including criminal justice, real estate, 2 corporate law, family law, and civil litigation. 3 Part of the mandate of the CBA and the CBABC 4 includes formulating positions for the legal 5 profession and then advocating those positions to 6 the public, to public bodies, public officials, and 7 the government itself. 8 The CBA also intervenes on cases at the 9 Supreme Court of Canada and other appellate courts 10 on matters of concern to the profession. 11 Its mission is the following: 12 13 - to improve the law, to improve the 14 administration of justice 15 16 - to improve and promote access to justice 17 18 - to promote equality in the legal profession 19 and in the justice system 20 21 - to improve and promote the knowledge, 22 skills, ethical standards, and well-being of 23 members of the legal profession 24 25 - to represent the legal profession, and 26 27 - to promote the interests of the members of 28 the Canadian Bar Association in British 29 Columbia. 30 31 It is an expressed value of the CBABC to improve the administration of justice, which 32 33 includes the preservation of the independence of 34 the judiciary, the legal profession, and the 35 members that operate within in them. 36 The Criminal Defence Advocacy Society that 37 I'll refer to as CDAS was founded only recently, in 38 It's a non-profit society formed by members 2015. 39 of the criminal defence bar in British Columbia, 40 and it is engaged in advocacy, law reform, and the 41 education of its members. Our members are 42 particularly concerned with the rule of law, the independence of the bar, and the constitutional 43 44 rights of accused individuals. Our organization 45 has intervened at the Supreme Court of Canada and has attempted to provide a unique perspective on 46 47 issues of public policy.

Both CBABC and CDAS recognize that the work of this commission will raise important legal principles and practice issues that will affect both members of the bar and the private citizens that our members serve. Moving to our joint position, both the CBABC and CDAS acknowledge and agree with many of the overarching findings of the terms of reference There can be no doubt that money reports. laundering has become a serious problem in Canada and, in particular, British Columbia, and one warranting the valuable analysis found within the terms of reference reports and warranting the 14 attention of this commission. Both CDAS and the CBABC seek to provide meaningful assistance in the search for answers and improvements that will lessen the extent of this problem and to benefit British Columbians. At the same time, both organizations are wary that the zealous search for solutions to the money laundering problem will lead to investigative and regulatory overreach that could endanger the

independence of lawyers, the privacy of private citizens, and the rights of all Canadians to a free and just society.

Within the terms of reference reports, lawyers have been denigrated as "black holes" in relation to the money laundering crisis.

Solicitor-client privilege has been downplayed as something that "lawyers enjoy and zealously guard" without reference to the fact that it is in fact an ancient and absolutely essential aspect of our legal system that is there for the protection of private citizens, our clients, who seek the advice of lawyers for all manner of important life decisions.

The terms of reference reports appear critical of this decision of our nation's highest court in Canada v. Federation of Law Societies of Canada, and that's a case that's come up frequently in these opening submissions. I'll note particularly its holding that the PCMLTFA regime should not apply to the legal profession.

44 We say that underlying that criticism is a 45 failure to recognize the nature and extent to which 46 law societies and the ethical and legal obligations 47 of trusted lawyers are themselves a protective

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

1	moonung oneingt monous loundouing
1 2	measure against money laundering.
2 3	Although the CBABC is open to consulting with the federal government on establishing ways to
4 F	incorporate lawyers into existing anti-money
4 5 6	laundering legislation in a manner which does not
8 7	offend solicitor-client privilege, we contend that
8	the current requirements under the Law Society rules are effective. Lawyers are precluded from
9	asserting solicitor-client privilege during a Law
10	Society audit or investigation, as it stands. The
11	system does work, with about 250 investigations
12	conducted annually in British Columbia by the Law
13	Society.
14	It's also worth noting, of course, the
15	obvious, that lawyers in British Columbia are
16	subject to the same <i>Criminal Code</i> obligations as
17	the public when it comes to money laundering, in
18	particular s. 462.31(1) of the <i>Criminal Code</i> , and I
19	won't read that in.
20	Effectively under the law, no lawyer can
21	knowingly deal with any property that is the
22	proceeds of crime. And if that lawyer is reckless
23	in determining where the funds were obtained or
24	derived, they can be charged under this section
25	like anybody else.
26	As well, members of the legal profession are
27	obligated to adhere to strict legislation,
28	regulations, rules and a code of professional
29	conduct as prescribed by our Law Society. As the
30	regulator of our profession, the Law Society
31	monitors the potential risks to the public that we
32	serve, and adjust the rules and requirements to
33	minimize any perceived or actual risks.
34	The Law Society of course has standing in
35	this inquiry and will provide the Commission with
36	the details of the many rules that all lawyers must
37	follow, including careful identification of new
38	clients, verification of that identity, and an
39	ongoing monitoring of the relationship to ensure
40	that any source of funds is legitimate.
41	Lawyers are simply not allowed to accept
42	funds into trust accounts unless those funds relate
43 44	to legal services they are providing. The
44 45	profession is held to the highest standards, and
45 46	lawyers are required to step down and withdraw in circumstances where transactions or the source of
40	funds is suspicious in nature.
± /	rando io publiciono in nacare.

1 We would suggest that the standards set by 2 the Law Society in British Columbia, as well as in 3 other provinces, are among the strictest of any 4 profession, and you will be considering that in 5 your inquiry. б As any practising lawyer will tell you, a 7 failure to adhere to the rules set out by the Law 8 Society will result in prompt review and 9 investigation of the lawyer and their practice, 10 often resulting in sanctions, penalties, and 11 restrictions on practice. Unlike many other 12 professional regulators, the Law Society is very 13 clear that their only mandate is to protect the 14 public, not the lawyers who they license to serve 15 the public. 16 Over the past 20 years, the national CBA has 17 provided many submissions and comments on proposed 18 legislative and regulatory changes which impact the 19 legal profession and its ability to provide access 20 to justice. Like in the Federation case, the CBA has intervened in cases that advanced and were 21 22 heard by our highest court. 23 The CBA hopes to draw on the same expertise 24 that led to the development of those submissions in 25 attempting to provide assistance in this forum. 26 With respect to the many recommendations 27 contained within the terms of reference reports that are the subject of this inquiry, the CBABC and 28 29 CDAS would like to take this opportunity to make 30 the following initial comments concerning the 31 recommendations. And they are, I will say now, relatively broad in scope. We of course expect to 32 33 make much more specific submissions about 34 particular pieces of evidence as we move forward. 35 a) There is no evidence, we say, to suggest 36 37 that the lack of disclosure for a lawyer's 38 trust account and transaction is the reason 39 that there is such a dismal rate of 40 conviction for money laundering type 41 offences. 42 43 b) We believe that by disclosing financial 44 records which would include the names of 45 clients, lawyers would be in breach of their 46 duty to maintain client confidentiality 47 unless that disclosure is to the Law Society,

1 2 3	which already has the authority to review such accounts.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	c) There is no evidence to suggest that the ability of a British Columbia lawyer to act as a realtor has resulted in any money laundering in B.C. Again, lawyers operate under strict regulatory and professional requirements that arguably exceed those of the real estate industry.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	d) Legislation requiring disclosure of beneficial ownership of corporations should be developed after consultation with the CBABC to ensure that the proposed solutions are consistent with the duty to maintain client confidentiality.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	e) We are concerned that the unexplained wealth orders recommendation is too broad in nature and would create a presumption that shifts the burden to clients to prove any and all sources of funds that they may have are not illegal. There is already a civil remedy for forfeiture of property that is crime- related, and this new proposal creates an unfair and unjustified burden on all citizens. The residents of British Columbia are still entitled to privacy, and this recommendation has a far-reaching impact that could cause more harm than good.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	f) In order for British Columbians to refute the suggestion that it is a "safe haven" for money laundering, more effort needs to be focused on the actual prosecution of criminals who are found to be conducting this illegal activity. Currently the rate of prosecution in Canada is amongst the lowest of all nations that have anti-money laundering legislation. The anti-money laundering legislation and reporting requirements are of little impact if criminals realize that they can continue operations without risk of actually being charged due to a lack of police or prosecutorial resources to manage the large

1 volume of information provided to FINTRAC and 2 other tracking agencies. 3 4 In closing, we at the CBABC and CDAS reaffirm 5 that we are eager to join the endeavour of 6 determining causes and seeking solutions to the 7 problem of money laundering in British Columbia. 8 We wholeheartedly welcome the terms of reference 9 As mentioned, we agree with the overall reports. 10 assessment that a crisis is afoot and also agree We 11 with many of the specific recommendations. 12 adamantly disagree with others. 13 Despite this, we welcome the dialogue that 14 will arise from our opposition to criminal, 15 regulatory and administrative overreach during the 16 course of these hearings. After all, it is the 17 essence of a free and democratic society that we 18 seek the truth, knowledge, and enlightenment 19 through an exchange of different ideas, argument, 20 and a willingness to listen to those we, at least 21 initially, disagree with. 22 CBABC and CDAS fundamentally believe that all 23 residents of British Columbia should have the 24 opportunity to obtain legal advice in a 25 confidential, secure setting without the fear of 26 having their personal information shared with a 27 national agency, and we are concerned that an 28 effort to damage the ancient and sacrosanct quality 29 of the solicitor-client relationship would align 30 with a broader, more disturbing trend of devaluing 31 the role of lawyer in a free and democratic 32 society. 33 In the last two years, we have seen the 34 government in British Columbia adopt the Civil 35 Resolution Tribunal, and by doing so effectively 36 remove the role of lawyers from a large proportion 37 of legal disputes. And recently in the spirit of 38 promoting its new no-fault insurance regime, we 39 have heard our own provincial Attorney General 40 point to British Columbia's lawyers as the reason that the previous system was apparently 41 42 ineffective. 43 We find that trend disturbing. We are 44 organizations that seek to represent a strong and 45 independent bar. We seek to stand up for the privacy rights of private citizens of British 46 47 Columbia, and we seek to assist in educating the

1 public of this inquiry as to why it is that the 2 work of lawyers is so vital in promoting and 3 ensuring justice as opposed to frustrating it. 4 Thank you very much. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Westell. 6 So I think, Mr. Martland, we can take the 7 morning adjournment and explore whether or not we 8 can resume with Mr. Cohen. 9 I think that makes sense, and I would MR. MARTLAND: 10 suggest we might adjourn until 11:00 a.m. to buy 11 ourselves a little time to fix things. 12 THE COMMISSIONER: That makes sense. 13 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. 15 THE REGISTRAR: All rise. The hearing will be adjourned until 11:00 a.m. 16 17 18 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR MORNING RECESS) 19 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED) 20 21 THE REGISTRAR: All rise. The hearings have resumed. 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr. Martland. 23 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. Mr. Commissioner, we think 24 we have our link with Mr. Cohen now fixed and it's 25 working much better. I thought I would just point 26 out that my suggestion was he not need to start 27 again. His written opening statement, I think, is 28 already available on the Transparency website. Ιt 29 will be very shorty later today on the Commission's 30 website as well, as are the other written 31 submissions that we've received. And so those are, 32 to the extent that anyone wants to go back and 33 relive this, they can read those statements on our 34 website. 35 My other little pointer before we resume is 36 just as a reminder to counsel here to make sure 37 they develop the habit of signing in, please, so 38 that we have a note of who's in attendance for the purpose of transcripts later on. Thank you. 39 40 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Martland. Yes, Mr. 41 Cohen. I think we'll be able to hear you now. 42 Okay. Thank you. MR. COHEN: 43 44 45 46 47

1		
2		(VIDEOCONFERENCE RESUMES)
3		
4		NING STATEMENT BY MR. COHEN (TI COALITION)
5	cont	cinuing:
6		
7	MR.	COHEN: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner, and
8		apologies for the technical problems earlier.
9		COMMISSIONER: No, that's fine.
10	MR.	COHEN: I will restart where I believe our
11		connection left off, on page 5, paragraph 14.
12		COMMISSIONER: Yes.
13	MR.	COHEN: If you have my printed testimony in front
14		of you.
15		COMMISSIONER: I do.
16	MR.	COHEN: Snow washing is not the only notorious
17		term tied to Canada's money laundering problem. As
18		the residents of British Columbia know all too
19		well, and indeed what is the basis for this
20 21		Commission, there is also the term "the Vancouver
22		Model, " as reported by then Vancouver Sun
23		journalist, Sam Cooper. The term was coined by intelligence agencies based in our international
23		partner governments to describe illicit flow of
25		funds connecting gambling in China and Vancouver,
26		and in turn linking that to underground banks,
27		housing, and domestic crime in British Columbia.
28		Canada recently fell four points to 12th
29		place in Transparency International's global
30		Corruption Perceptions Index, landing behind peers
31		such as Germany and Norway. The annual index
32		pointed to Canada's snow washing problem as one of
33		the reasons for the downgrade.
34		There are a number of gaps within Canada's
35		anti-money laundering law, the Proceeds of Crime
36		(Money Laundering) and Terrorist Financing
37		PCMLTFA but our Coalition, as well as many
38		experts and international bodies agree on a key
39		problem: Canada's weak beneficial ownership
40		regime. Not everyone who owns a secret company is
41		a criminal. Thousands of legitimate shell
42		companies operate in the country. But gaps in
43		Canada's laws allow the true owners of companies to
44		remain anonymous, giving criminals entry to our
45		economy and communities, where they do significant
46		harm.
47		The Coalition recommends greater transparency

1 of the true owners of companies, known as 2 beneficial owners or ultimate beneficial owners. 3 Making ownership information public can deter 4 criminals from using anonymous companies to hide 5 dirty money. б Federal, provincial, and territorial 7 governments already have business registries, which 8 are updated daily as companies are created, 9 dissolved, amalgamated, or undergo ownership, 10 address, or other information changes. 11 Beneficial ownership transparency would add 12 information about the true owners of companies to 13 existing business registries. While not all 14 details are public, enough information is available 15 to deter those who want to snow-wash money through 16 a Canadian company. 17 Since the explosive 2016 Panama Papers leaks, 18 the federal government has vowed to crack down on 19 massive offshore tax avoidance and evasion, but 20 Canada cannot tackle sophisticated global networks 21 without strengthening secrecy laws at home. Until 22 it lifts the veil on anonymous ownership, shell 23 companies will be used for financial crimes, 24 costing both federal, provincial, and territorial 25 governments billions in lost revenues. 26 How anonymous shell companies impact Canada's economy. Money laundering, and particularly 27 28 beneficial ownership transparency can at times seem 29 like an abstract issue for many Canadians, 30 predominantly on how they are affected. But from 31 facilitating crime, to housing affordability, and 32 business due diligence, Canada's snow washing 33 problem affects Canadians across many sectors and 34 individuals. 35 On organized crime and corruption. Money 36 laundering facilitates organized crime, drug and 37 sex trafficking, and the fentanyl crisis. Major cases of international tax evasion have been linked 38 39 to anonymous entities in Canada, including the 40 largest tax fraud operation in Russian history. 41 For one example, between 2008 and 2013, Russian 42 crime syndicates sprinkled illicit proceeds using 43 entities they incorporated across Canada, from 44 Ontario and New Brunswick to Alberta and B.C. 45 Negative consequences are also felt far 46 beyond our borders. Shell companies are used by 47 criminals and corrupt officials across the world,

from violent dictators and drug lords to 1 2 terrorists. A World Bank study found 70 percent of 3 large corruption cases involved hidden ownership 4 and the misuse of shell companies. 5 Given the scale of the social turmoil that 6 money laundering and anonymous corporate ownership 7 cause, the RCMP's success rate for convicting money 8 laundering is a fraction of what it is for other 9 In 80 percent of cases, a suspect cannot crimes. 10 be identified and only a third of the cases that do 11 go to trial result in conviction. 12 Investigators are frustrated by the inability 13 to identify the owner of a company used to hide 14 criminal activity. Law enforcement must request 15 the information, which delays investigations and 16 risks tipping off criminals. A public beneficial 17 ownership registry can help authorities identify 18 culprits of financial crimes discreetly. 19 Housing. Canadian cities are appealing to 20 The amount of money laundering in B.C. criminals. real estate hit \$5.3 billion in 2018, almost 5 21 22 percent of the province's real estate transactions, 23 according to estimates by the B.C.-appointed expert 24 panel on money laundering. 25 Transparency International Canada's 2016 26 report, No Reason to Hide, found nearly half of 27 Vancouver's most valuable properties were hidden 28 behind shell companies, trusts and nominee owners. 29 Vancouver isn't alone. TI Canada's joint 30 2019 follow-up report with Canadians for Tax 31 Fairness and Publish What You Pay-Canada --32 OPACITY: Why Criminals Love Canadian Real Estate 33 (And How to Fix It) -- examined more than 34 1.4 million property transactions in the Greater 35 Toronto Area, where prices have skyrocketed. Companies were three times more likely than 36 37 individuals to buy real estate without a mortgage. 38 At least \$20 billion entered the GTA housing market 39 in the past decade without oversight or due 40 diligence on beneficial owners. 41 Montreal also made headlines in 2017 after 42 investigative reporters discovered nearly 43 \$30 million in property was bought by government 44 officials and politically exposed persons from 45 several West African countries with endemic 46 corruption. 47 Tax avoidance and tax evasion. Governments

1 lose billions to tax evasion and avoidance every 2 year. Experts estimate as much as 10 percent of 3 global GDP is stashed in offshore wealth. The 4 situation is worse in developing regions like the 5 Middle East and Latin America, where more than half 6 of all private wealth flows offshore. 7 Scandals such as the Panama Papers have 8 offered a glimpse into Canada's role in 9 international tax dodging. Close to 900 Canadians 10 were named in the 2016 Panama Papers leaks and 3,000 in the 2017 Paradise Papers, but Canada has 11 12 also been contributing to the global problem with 13 its weak transparency rules. 14 Researchers found "a large fraction" of offshore wealth is traced back to shell companies, 15 trusts, foundations, and personal holding companies 16 17 incorporated in other tax havens. Without a public 18 registry of beneficial owners, Canada acts as a 19 haven to anyone wanting to avoid detection for tax 20 reasons. 21 As billions escape into an underground 22 economy, the tax burden shifts to honest Canadians 23 and businesses. Canada's total tax gap -- how much 24 is owed in taxes versus how much the government 25 collects -- could be as high as \$47 billion a year, 26 according to a 2017 report from the Conference Board of Canada. In 2019, the CRA estimated the 27 28 corporate tax gap to be between \$9.4 billion and 29 \$11.4 billion. 30 What is being done. Canada moves towards 31 beneficial ownership transparency. For years, 32 advocacy groups and anti-money laundering experts 33 have urged the federal government to improve 34 beneficial ownership transparency. 35 In June 2019, federal, provincial and 36 territorial finance ministers agreed to explore 37 solutions to financial crime, including looking at 38 a public registry of company beneficial owners. We 39 are pleased to see that the federal government is 40 currently conducting consultations on a public 41 registry of beneficial ownership. This 42 consultation comes on the heels of a consultation 43 in Quebec and at the same time as a consultation in 44 B.C on this issue. 45 In fact, B.C. has led Canada on beneficial 46 ownership transparency with the passage of the Land 47 Owner Transparency Act, which requires companies,

1 trusts and partnerships to disclose beneficial 2 owners. 3 This should put the federal government and 4 other provinces and territories on alert. Just as 5 more countries implement public registries and more б of the world's dirty money flows to Canada, the 7 same will likely happen among provinces and 8 territories. 9 A 2020 Global News investigation found that 10 since B.C. increased anti-money laundering efforts 11 in the province, crime groups have shifted their 12 focus to Ontario. And, jurisdictions that fail to 13 act will be vulnerable. 14 In other countries, governments are realizing 15 a public registry can help expose the agents behind 16 illegitimate operations and prevent them from 17 setting up shop in the first place. 18 Countries like the United Kingdom, Denmark, 19 Ireland, and Ukraine have already introduced public 20 registries. All EU member states have agreed to implement public registries by January 2020. 21 The 22 UK plans to do the same with its overseas 23 territories by 2023. Even known tax havens such as 24 the Cayman Islands committed to a public registry 25 under the UK's rules. At the time of publication 26 of this testimony, almost 50 countries, including 27 the EU, have implemented or plan to roll out 28 beneficial ownership registries, a majority of 29 which are public. 30 Global standards are moving toward greater 31 beneficial owner transparency as well. The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative, 32 33 EITI, a global standard for good governance of the 34 oil, gas and mining industry, required 52 member 35 countries to disclose beneficial owners for mining 36 and oil and gas projects by 2020. EITI will 37 publish the information, enabling law enforcement, 38 civil society and others to scrutinize the data. 39 At least 20 countries under the Open 40 Government Partnership committed to advance global 41 norms on beneficial ownership transparency as of 42 July 2019, including Canada. 43 Canada can learn from its peers that have 44 taken a proactive role in enhancing corporate 45 secrecy and accountability. 46 How Canada can create an effective publicly 47 accessible registry. There are critical components

1 to get right for a public beneficial ownership 2 registry to achieve its intended impact. These 3 include the threshold for ownership disclosure, 4 data fields to be collected, enforcement and 5 penalties, validation of information, and balancing б disclosure and privacy. 7 The higher the threshold on beneficial 8 ownership control disclosure, the easier it is for 9 criminals to hide. The UK's public registry has a 10 25 percent or more control threshold, but 11 stakeholders have recommended lowering it to 10 12 percent. NGOs recommend lowering it even further 13 as criminals wishing to stay anonymous can 14 restructure the distribution of shares to evade 15 threshold requirements. The B.C. government has set an example for 16 17 Canada by setting LOTA threshold for a significant 18 number of shares at 10 percent. 19 Fields of information to be collected. In 20 line with global standards, we believe that businesses should disclose the following 21 22 information: 23 24 - Describing the beneficial ownership 25 relationship: information on the nature and 26 extent of beneficial interest, politically 27 exposed person status and/or Head of 28 International Organization Standard; 29 30 - Identifying the beneficial owner: unique 31 identifier -- generated by the registry 32 itself -- full legal name, month and year of 33 birth, service or correspondence address, and 34 country of usual residence. 35 36 On enforcement and penalties. Businesses 37 must report changes such as sale of shares or 38 ownership. Failure to disclose information should be subject to a financial penalty big enough to 39 40 deter criminals. Launderers of large amounts of 41 money will see smaller penalties as simply the cost 42 of doing business. 43 An effective registry also requires a central 44 office that is staffed with a mandate. Registrars 45 with expertise in corporate law would be skilled at detecting risks and determining when additional 46 47 verification or investigation is required.

Validation of information and technical 1 2 Beneficial owners should be verified solutions. 3 just as individuals are required to do so to drive 4 a car or open a bank account. Examples of ID 5 include passports, driver's licenses, or provincial 6 identification cards with photo. 7 Proof of identity should be accessible to law 8 enforcement or regulatory bodies but restricted 9 from the public. Additional requirements could 10 include a sworn statement or attestation of 11 ownership. 12 Third-party verification systems, such as 13 digital IDs, have the potential to make processing 14 beneficial ownership information easier across jurisdictions. 15 Several banks and financial 16 institutions have adopted emerging technologies 17 such as Verified.Me, which allow individuals to 18 securely confirm their identities online. 19 All major Canadian banks are looking to a 20 digital framework. The Canadian Bankers 21 Association has called for a federal digital ID 22 system to reduce fraud and improve compliance while 23 reducing background checks and costs. 24 One option is a registry that allows 25 provinces and territories to collect beneficial ownership data and provide it to a centralized 26 27 database. Aligning the information that is 28 collected in each province and territory and 29 sharing it in a central database would ensure 30 harmonization of systems, creating a level playing 31 field for all businesses in Canada in this issue, 32 and ease investigations across jurisdictions. The 33 process should follow the international Beneficial 34 Ownership Data Standard, which can be modified as 35 data requirements change. 36 Balancing beneficial ownership transparency 37 and individual privacy. It is important to 38 distinguish between secrecy and privacy. Secrecy 39 is the act of concealing something. Privacy is an 40 individual right. As anti-corruption advocates 41 have pointed out, there is no justification for 42 corporate secrecy. 43 Registries should be designed to protect 44 privacy. The UK registry contains beneficial owner 45 details such as full date of birth, but only the 46 month and year are publicly disclosed. The owner's 47 service address is publicly available, but their

1 residential address is not. 2 Canada should apply restrictions to safeguard 3 privacy. Information such as country of origin 4 could attract racial profiling and such fields 5 should be restricted to the public -- or from the 6 public. 7 In very rare cases, involvement in a business 8 or associated wealth could make individuals targets 9 for kidnapping or extortion. However, in Canada, 10 many large profitable corporations are publicly 11 traded and owners with 10 percent or more of voting 12 shares are listed via the System for Electronic 13 Disclosure by Insiders. Information about wealth 14 is already public. 15 The UK uses a rigorous process for exempting 16 information and offers no blanket exemptions for 17 any group of people. Of more than one million 18 companies that provided beneficial ownership 19 information, only 270 applied to have their 20 information withheld on the basis it would put them at risk, and only five of those requests were 21 22 granted. In exceptional cases, the owner's 23 information is still available to authorities. 24 Other details are protected. Canada could consider 25 a similar approach where on a case-by-case basis 26 legitimate concerns of risks to disclosing this 27 inform are reviewed and considered. 28 Canada has a process in place to mitigate 29 risks. Any time a federal program is created or 30 changed, a privacy risk-assessment exercise is 31 carried out. Assessments are reviewed by the 32 Privacy Commissioner of Canada, which may advise 33 additional measures to protect privacy. We would 34 note that at a 2019 Transparency International 35 Canada event, Ontario Privacy and Access to 36 Information Commissioner, Brian Beamish, expressed 37 his view that he did not expect a public beneficial 38 ownership registry to create privacy concerns. 39 Minimal privacy risks would ultimately be 40 eclipsed by broader societal gains of enhanced 41 transparency and crime prevention. 42 Low Regulatory Burden for Business. Since 43 the UK launched its public registry in 2016, a 44 majority -- 64 percent -- of businesses have found 45 the publicly available information useful. Close 46 to a third considered the information very useful. 47 The minor cost to businesses to implement and

1 maintain a registry would be eclipsed by greater 2 compliance savings, especially among smaller 3 companies with limited resources. The median cost 4 of compliance in the UK was relatively small, at 5 just £125 -- about \$240 Canadian. б Businesses were also asked if collecting and 7 submitting information had affected how their 8 business operates. The majority, 95 percent, said 9 it had no impact at all. In fact, some said the 10 registry's increase in corporate transparency was 11 economically advantageous as it would likely result 12 in improved business confidence and lead to greater 13 investment. 14 Compliance costs are also borne by financial 15 that devote vast resources to activities the 16 government could perform with a registry. A public 17 and centralized registry would bring significant 18 efficiencies across multiple sectors of the 19 economy. 20 The importance of a public registry. 21 Registries should be available to everyone from tax 22 officials and financial institutions to journalists 23 conducting investigations and Canadian businesses 24 doing due diligence checks. Public scrutiny can 25 reduce errors and improve data. 26 A public database of beneficial owners can 27 cut off illicit flows before they reach our borders 28 and create greater global stability. For example, 29 a study by the advocacy group Global Witness found 30 a significant decrease in the UK of a type of 31 corporate arrangement commonly associated with 32 money laundering known as Scottish Limited 33 Partnerships. 34 SLPs do not have to identify associated 35 partners and have been indicated in financial 36 scandals such as fraud or arms dealing. The 37 incorporation of SLPs declined by 80 percent from their peak in 2015, before the UK implemented a 38 39 public registry. 40 The UK registry helped the public find 41 incorrect information. There were 58,352 reports 42 from the public regarding likely mistakes and 43 discrepancies in the company register between July 44 2017 and March 2018. 45 As other examples of the benefits of a public registry, foreign tax and legal authorities could 46 47 analyze data to detect inconsistencies across

1		regions, enhancing government collaboration. The
2		UK registry was cross-checked with other regions'
3		public datasets, where discrepancies were found
4		between asset declarations of Ukrainian politicians
5		and officials and the beneficial owners listed in
6		the PSC register.
7		Conclusion. When it comes to beneficial
8		ownership, what you do not know can hurt you.
9		Governments have learned this lesson the hard way,
10		losing billions to money laundering and tax
11		avoidance schemes. Crimes using shell companies
12		leave little in the way of a paper trail,
13		frustrating law enforcement and tax authorities who
14		are already under-resourced.
15		The extent of secrecy granted to companies
16		has come at a high cost to Canadians, particularly
17		in British Columbia. Bad actors have exploited
18		Canada's stable economy, leading to crime, housing
19		unaffordability and increased corruption.
20		The Canadian government has acknowledged the
21		problem and agreed to look at all options to fix
22		it, including a public registry of beneficial
23		ownership. This is a positive step, but it does
24		not go far enough for a G7 country that has fallen
25		behind its peers. Forty-five jurisdictions have
26		already made significant progress implementing a
27		public registry. It is time for Canada to join the
28		global movement towards beneficial ownership
29		transparency.
30		We look forward to staying engaged with the
31		Commission's important work and we hope that the
32		citizens of British Columbia are served well by the
33		Commission's findings and recommendations, and that
34		the Canadian Government and other provinces follow
35		the Commission's work closely.
36		Thank you.
37	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Cohen. Mr.
38		Martland, I understand that concludes the openings
39		by participants. Is that correct?
40	MÞ	MARTLAND: It is, yes.
41	THE	COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. I think I
42		should take a few moments just to thank the
43		participants for their efforts in making the
44		opening statements over the past several days. As
45		I noted in the introductory statement, the
46		Commission's mandate is both broad and deep, and we
47		need the assistance of all levels of government,

1 2 3 4 5		the public and private agencies, and individual citizens to grapple with the many issues, some of them complex, to achieve a successful outcome for this commission. The participants' efforts in identifying issues, positions and ideas for the
6		Commission to engage with as we move forward and
7 8		into the evidentiary phase of our hearings, will provide significant assistance.
o 9		We're very grateful for the participants'
10		willingness to engage, your cooperation and the
11		benefit of your various perspectives as we develop
12		and crystallize our approach towards the
13 14		challenging and important issues which confront us. As Mr. Martland mentioned at the outset of
15		this hearing, transcripts of these proceedings will
16		be posted on our website once they become
17		available, and the webcast will become available in
18		our website archives.
19 20		Our venue for the next round of hearings will be posted as soon as it is finalized.
21		Did you have anything you wish to add, Mr.
22		Martland?
23	MR.	MARTLAND: No. And just as simply a reminder that
24 25		we resume May 25th with a block of hearings scheduled until June 26th. Further hearings then
26		start just after Labour Day through the fall.
27		COMMISSIONER: Thank you. We will adjourn, then.
28	THE	REGISTRAR: All rise. The hearings are adjourned.
29 30		(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED TO MAY 25, 2020, AT
31		9:30 A.M.)
32		
33		
34 35		
35 36		
37		
38		
39		
40 41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		